

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

FEB - 8 2007

ATTEST
FOR THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATIONU.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

FILED

FEB - 8 2007

LORETTA G. WHYTE
DOCKET NO. 1657JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FEB - 8 2007

FILED
CLERK'S OFFICEBEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION
FILED

FEB 15 2007

**IN RE VIOXX MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION****BEFORE WM. TERRELL HODGES,* CHAIRMAN, D. LOWELL JENSEN,* J.
FREDERICK MOTZ,* ROBERT L. MILLER, JR., KATHRYN H. VRATIL,
DAVID R. HANSEN AND ANTHONY J. SCIRICA, JUDGES OF THE PANEL****TRANSFER ORDER**

06CV2124R

Presently before the Panel are motions, pursuant to Rule 7.4, R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), by plaintiffs in most actions and the health care provider defendants in the two Oklahoma actions to vacate the Panel's orders conditionally transferring the actions listed on Schedule A to the Eastern District of Louisiana for inclusion in the Section 1407 proceedings occurring there in this docket. Defendant Merck & Co., Inc., favors inclusion of these actions in MDL-1657 proceedings.

On the basis of the papers filed and hearing session held (without oral argument), the Panel finds that these actions involve common questions of fact with actions in this litigation previously transferred to the Eastern District of Louisiana. Transfer of the actions to that district for inclusion in the coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings occurring there will serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses and promote the just and efficient conduct of this litigation. Motions to remand to state court can be presented to and decided by the transferee judge. See, e.g., *In re Ivy*, 901 F.2d 7 (2d Cir. 1990); *In re Prudential Insurance Company of America Sales Practices Litigation*, 170 F.Supp.2d 1346, 1347-48 (J.P.M.L. 2001). The Panel further finds that transfer of these actions is appropriate for the reasons expressed by the Panel in the original order directing centralization in this docket. In that order, the Panel held that the Eastern District of Louisiana was a proper Section 1407 forum for actions involving claims relating to Vioxx. See *In re Vioxx Products Liability Litigation*, 360 F.Supp.2d 1352 (J.P.M.L. 2005).

Some plaintiffs and the opposing health care provider defendants argue that the presence of individual and/or local questions of fact as well as differing legal theories should militate against inclusion of these actions in MDL-1657 proceedings. We are unpersuaded by these arguments. Transfer under Section 1407 has the salutary effect of placing all actions in this docket before a single judge who can formulate a pretrial program that: 1) allows discovery with respect to any non-common issues to proceed concurrently with discovery on common issues, *In re Joseph F. Smith Patent Litigation*, 407 F.Supp. 1403, 1404 (J.P.M.L. 1976); and 2) ensures that pretrial proceedings will be conducted in a manner leading to

* Judges Hodges, Jensen and Motz took no part in the decision of this matter.

Fee _____
Process _____
X Dkt'd _____
CtRmDep _____
Doc. No. 10001

- 2 -

the just and expeditious resolution of all actions to the overall benefit of the parties. The MDL-1657 transferee court can employ any number of pretrial techniques – such as establishing separate discovery and/or motion tracks – to efficiently manage this litigation. In any event, we leave the extent and manner of coordination or consolidation of these actions to the discretion of the transferee court. *In re Mutual Funds Investment Litigation*, 310 F.Supp.2d 1359 (J.P.M.L. 2004). It may be, on further refinement of the issues and close scrutiny by the transferee judge, that some claims or actions can be remanded to their transferor districts for trial in advance of the other actions in the transferee district. But we are unwilling, on the basis of the record before us, to make such a determination at this time. Should the transferee judge deem remand of any claims or actions appropriate, procedures are available whereby this may be accomplished with a minimum of delay. See Rule 7.6, R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. at 436-38. We are confident in the transferee judge's ability to streamline pretrial proceedings in these actions, while concomitantly directing the appropriate resolution of all claims.


Some *pro se* plaintiffs argue that they will be unable to pursue their claims in MDL-1657. Judge Fallon has, however, emphasized the obligation of the court and counsel to assist *pro se* plaintiffs in their participation in MDL-1657 proceedings. If discovery sought by a particular plaintiff is deemed to be outside the scope of MDL-1657's common discovery, this plaintiff's discovery can nevertheless be pursued concurrently within the MDL-1657 framework.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, these actions are transferred to the Eastern District of Louisiana and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Eldon E. Fallon for inclusion in the coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings occurring there in this docket.

FOR THE PANEL:



Robert L. Miller, Jr.
Acting Chairman



SCHEDULE A

MDL-1657 -- In re Vioxx Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

Eastern District of California

<i>Gladys Herring, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 2:06-1826	EDLA 07-742
<i>Ruth Beatty v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 2:06-1845	EDLA 07-743
<i>Mary Grove v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 2:06-1941	EDLA 07-744
<i>Donna Moret v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 2:06-1954	EDLA 07-745
<i>Maximo Rivera v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 2:06-1957	EDLA 07-746
<i>Linda Chamberlain v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 2:06-1973	EDLA 07-747
<i>Kap Sook Choi v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 2:06-1977	EDLA 07-748
<i>Witold Kolankowski v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 2:06-1978	EDLA 07-749
<i>Freeman Herring v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 2:06-2079	EDLA 07-750
<i>Emma Miguel v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 2:06-2215	EDLA 07-751

Northern District of California

<i>Jeffrey Kaufman, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-4921	EDLA 07-752
<i>William C. Mullins v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-5534	EDLA 07-753

Southern District of California

<i>Earl Alger v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1888	EDLA 07-754
<i>Douglas Diaz v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1889	EDLA 07-755
<i>Raylene Diaz v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1890	EDLA 07-756
<i>Diane Dumas v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1891	EDLA 07-757
<i>Judy Joseph v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1892	EDLA 07-758
<i>Debra Robles v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1893	EDLA 07-759
<i>Berit Nytzen v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1894	EDLA 07-760
<i>Annette Williams v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1896	EDLA 07-761
<i>Jayn Fields v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1897	EDLA 07-762
<i>Brenda Eldridge v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1898	EDLA 07-763
<i>William Eldridge v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1899	EDLA 07-764
<i>David Fields v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1900	EDLA 07-765
<i>Diane Cross v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1901	EDLA 07-766
<i>Valada Embry v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1903	EDLA 07-767

- A2 -

MDL-1657 Schedule A (Continued)

Southern District of California (Continued)

<i>Wanda Alger v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1904	EDLA 07-768
<i>David Young, Sr. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1905	EDLA 07-769
<i>George Dumas v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1906	EDLA 07-770
<i>Carol Demasters v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1907	EDLA 07-771
<i>Helen Eads v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1908	EDLA 07-772
<i>Irma Young v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1909	EDLA 07-773
<i>Evelyn Mazzone v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1951	EDLA 07-774
<i>Jacqueline Battle v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1953	EDLA 07-775
<i>Robert Battle v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1954	EDLA 07-776
<i>James Mazzone v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1955	EDLA 07-777
<i>Norwood Blatchford v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1956	EDLA 07-778
<i>Margaret Choate v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1957	EDLA 07-779
<i>Rosa Blatchford v. Merck & Co., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1958	EDLA 07-780
<i>Horst Baranasch v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1959	EDLA 07-781
<i>Carol J. Heck v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1960	EDLA 07-782
<i>Esperanza Juarez v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1961	EDLA 07-783
<i>Jesus Beato v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1962	EDLA 07-784
<i>Alice Farmer v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1963	EDLA 07-785
<i>Susanne Barabasch v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1964	EDLA 07-786
<i>Nina Pope v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1966	EDLA 07-787
<i>Carmen Ramirez v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1967	EDLA 07-788
<i>Nancy Furman v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1968	EDLA 07-789
<i>Jean Brooker v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1969	EDLA 07-790
<i>Stephen Choate v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1970	EDLA 07-791
<i>Glenna Settle v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1971	EDLA 07-792
<i>Frederick Settle v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1972	EDLA 07-793
<i>Richard Brooker v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1973	EDLA 07-794
<i>Alicia Beato v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1981	EDLA 07-795
<i>Joel Christenson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1982	EDLA 07-796
<i>Tina Diamond v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1983	EDLA 07-797
<i>Loren Diamond v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1984	EDLA 07-798
<i>Edward Gnau v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1985	EDLA 07-799
<i>Srville Harris v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1986	EDLA 07-800
<i>Victoria Kassab v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1987	EDLA 07-801

- A3 -

MDL-1657 Schedule A (Continued)

Southern District of California (Continued)

<i>William Kay v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1988	EDLA 07-802
<i>Hank Martinex v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1989	EDLA 07-803
<i>Simena Woo v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1990	EDLA 07-804
<i>Priscilla Wilson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1991	EDLA 07-805
<i>Bobby Wilson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1992	EDLA 07-806
<i>Leslie Weldy v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1993	EDLA 07-807
<i>Mary Stromberg v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1994	EDLA 07-808
<i>Leona St. Onge v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1995	EDLA 07-809
<i>Eloisa Santillan v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1996	EDLA 07-810
<i>Betty Michal v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1997	EDLA 07-811
<i>Minh Tam Nguyen v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1998	EDLA 07-812
<i>Lou Ella Holmes v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-1999	EDLA 07-813
<i>Betty Jo Kay v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2000	EDLA 07-814
<i>Dearled Knotts v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2001	EDLA 07-815
<i>Joanne Westover v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2003	EDLA 07-816
<i>Stanley Westover v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2004	EDLA 07-817
<i>Sharon Leezy v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2005	EDLA 07-818
<i>Carl Danek v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2006	EDLA 07-819
<i>Lorraine Suder v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2007	EDLA 07-820
<i>Mariane Power v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2008	EDLA 07-821
<i>Louis Roah v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2009	EDLA 07-822
<i>Dorothy Scott v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2010	EDLA 07-823
<i>James Leezy v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2011	EDLA 07-824
<i>Angela Tourajigian v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2012	EDLA 07-825
<i>Arnold Suder v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2013	EDLA 07-826
<i>Jean Roah v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2014	EDLA 07-827
<i>Charles Murphy v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2015	EDLA 07-828
<i>Carlos Baylon v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2016	EDLA 07-829
<i>Debbie Clines v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2017	EDLA 07-830
<i>Juan Leanos v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2018	EDLA 07-831
<i>Michelle Bell v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2019	EDLA 07-832
<i>Robert Brown v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2020	EDLA 07-833
<i>Josephine Baylon v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2021	EDLA 07-834
<i>Kim Hernandez v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2032	EDLA 07-835

- A4 -

MDL-1657 Schedule A (Continued)

Southern District of California (Continued)

<i>Judith Schuff v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2033	EDLA 07-836
<i>Jeff Goldberg v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2034	EDLA 07-837
<i>Larry Dawes v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2035	EDLA 07-838
<i>Phyllis Cruz v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2036	EDLA 07-839
<i>Jose Cruz v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2037	EDLA 07-840
<i>David J. Hernandez v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2038	EDLA 07-841
<i>Martha Brault v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2039	EDLA 07-842
<i>Inez Goldenberg v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2040	EDLA 07-843
<i>Evelyn Green v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2041	EDLA 07-844
<i>Anita Kincaide v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2042	EDLA 07-845
<i>Catherine Janes v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2043	EDLA 07-846
<i>Alfred Brault v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2044	EDLA 07-847
<i>Harold James v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2045	EDLA 07-848
<i>Egon Ohlendorf v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2046	EDLA 07-849
<i>Robert Gans v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2047	EDLA 07-850
<i>Nancy Jackson-Dawes v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2048	EDLA 07-851
<i>Frances Gans v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2049	EDLA 07-852
<i>Denise Radovan-Alfonso v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2115	EDLA 07-853
<i>Francisco Silva v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2116	EDLA 07-854
<i>Maria Santiago v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2117	EDLA 07-855
<i>James Rhodes v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2118	EDLA 07-856
<i>Mark Williams v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2119	EDLA 07-857
<i>Vickie Brendel v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2120	EDLA 07-858
<i>Perseveranda Smith v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2121	EDLA 07-859
<i>Fred Black, Sr. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2122	EDLA 07-860
<i>Jean Cardinal-McQuery v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2123	EDLA 07-861
<i>Bella Jacobs v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2124	EDLA 07-862
<i>Larry Valenti v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2125	EDLA 07-863
<i>Patricia Martinez v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2126	EDLA 07-864
<i>Patricia May Hilliard v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2127	EDLA 07-865
<i>Jan Schmidt v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2128	EDLA 07-866
<i>Steven Hampton v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2129	EDLA 07-867
<i>Jean McNeese v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2130	EDLA 07-868
<i>Dale Lloyd v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2131	EDLA 07-869

- A5 -

MDL-1657 Schedule A (Continued)

Southern District of California (Continued)

<i>Augustin Hernandez v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2132	EDLA 07-870
<i>Kenneth Lowry v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2133	EDLA 07-871
<i>Donna Hall v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2134	EDLA 07-872
<i>Jacqueline Lowry v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2135	EDLA 07-873
<i>Dolores Lloyd v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2136	EDLA 07-874
<i>Brian Coit v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2137	EDLA 07-875
<i>Juan Silva v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2138	EDLA 07-876
<i>Frances Bushong v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2139	EDLA 07-877
<i>Maria Osuna v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2152	EDLA 07-878
<i>Thomas Perfetto v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2153	EDLA 07-879
<i>Randy Valentine v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2154	EDLA 07-880
<i>Andres De Casas v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2155	EDLA 07-881
<i>Anna Combite v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2156	EDLA 07-882
<i>S. Anne Hamilton v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2157	EDLA 07-883
<i>Aloysius Kremer v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2158	EDLA 07-884
<i>Winifred Morris v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2159	EDLA 07-885
<i>Darnell Hudson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2160	EDLA 07-886
<i>Viola Kremer v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2161	EDLA 07-887
<i>Marietta Orpilla v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2163	EDLA 07-888
<i>Joyce Perfetto v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2164	EDLA 07-889
<i>Amineh Younis v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2165	EDLA 07-890
<i>Ahmad Younis v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2166	EDLA 07-891
<i>Michelle McMahon v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2259	EDLA 07-892
<i>Darren McMahon v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 3:06-2260	EDLA 07-893

Middle District of Florida

<i>Louise M. Griffin, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 8:06-1806	EDLA 07-894
--	-------------

District of Hawaii

<i>John R. McClelland, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-543	EDLA 07-895
--	-------------

- A6 -

MDL-1657 Schedule A (Continued)

Western District of Kentucky

Cynthia Chesser, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-521 EDLA 07-896

Northern District of Mississippi

Michael A. Collier v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-265 EDLA 07-897

Eastern District of Missouri

Stephen D. Murch v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-1157 EDLA 07-898
Forrest Lincoln, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-1372 EDLA 07-899

District of Nevada

Albertine Avant, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1313 EDLA 07-900
Buddy Batiz, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1317 EDLA 07-901
Don Chapman, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1319 EDLA 07-902
Norma Coon, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1320 EDLA 07-903
Ralph Cox, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1323 EDLA 07-904

Northern District of New York

Dennis Richard Harrison v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-932 EDLA 07-905

Southern District of New York

Elena Strujan v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-7745 EDLA 07-906

Eastern District of North Carolina

Eugene Seals, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 7:06-115 EDLA 07-907

Northern District of Oklahoma

Wanda Ferrell, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-561 EDLA 07-908

- A7 -

MDL-1657 Schedule A (Continued)

Western District of Oklahoma

Stella Claypool v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:06-1030 EDLA 07-909

Eastern District of Texas

Elton White, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-638 EDLA 07-910

Northern District of Texas

Rose Fogiel v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-1748 EDLA 07-911

Southern District of Texas

<i>Dominga R. Castro v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-150	EDLA 07-912
<i>Patricia Hernandez v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-151	EDLA 07-913
<i>Olivia Robinson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-152	EDLA 07-914
<i>Gwendolyn Ann Herron, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-163	EDLA 07-915
<i>Blanca D. Jimenez v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-165	EDLA 07-916
<i>Veronica Zamora v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-171	EDLA 07-917
<i>Ben Longoria v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-174	EDLA 07-918
<i>Celia M. Sanchez v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-175	EDLA 07-919
<i>Della Cantu, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 2:06-404	EDLA 07-920
<i>Lucia Gonzalez v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 2:06-424	EDLA 07-921
<i>Minerva H. Rosas v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 2:06-427	EDLA 07-922
<i>Alison Nettles, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 4:06-3191	EDLA 07-923
<i>Edward M. Steele v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 5:06-147	EDLA 07-924
<i>Noemi Guzman Mata v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-253	EDLA 07-925
<i>Maria Dolores Herrera, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-255	EDLA 07-926
<i>Estela Guerra, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-261	EDLA 07-927
<i>Cristina Hernandez Mendoza v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-262	EDLA 07-928
<i>Hortencia Rosalez v. Amerisource, Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-269	EDLA 07-929
<i>Maria Gonzalez de Ramirez v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-278	EDLA 07-930
<i>Martha B. Torres v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-279	EDLA 07-931

- A8 -

MDL-1657 Schedule A (Continued)

Southern District of Texas (Continued)

<i>Petra Ybarra v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-288	EDLA 07-932
<i>Luis Cantu v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-289	EDLA 07-933
<i>Emma Cuellar v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-295	EDLA 07-934
<i>Maria C. Cardenas v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-296	EDLA 07-935
<i>Gloria Mascorro v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-297	EDLA 07-936
<i>Maria Gloria Ybarra v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-308	EDLA 07-937
<i>Aida Vasquez v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-311	EDLA 07-938
<i>Irma Solis v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-312	EDLA 07-939
<i>Francisca Villarreal v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-315	EDLA 07-940
<i>Elma Martinez v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-316	EDLA 07-941
<i>Elvira Serna v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 7:06-317	EDLA 07-942

Western District of Texas

<i>Ronnie D. Fox, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-748	EDLA 07-943
<i>Barbara J. Baxter v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-749	EDLA 07-944
<i>Katherine Arney, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-771	EDLA 07-945
<i>Eddie Roy Tealer v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-772	EDLA 07-946
<i>Ramona Wright v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-779	EDLA 07-947
<i>Jesus Avila v. Merck & Co., Inc.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-786	EDLA 07-948
<i>Ina M. Teller v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-804	EDLA 07-949
<i>Jonna Murchison v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-805	EDLA 07-950
<i>Brian G. Thompson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-806	EDLA 07-951
<i>Juanita Phillips v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-827	EDLA 07-952
<i>James Townsley v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 1:06-849	EDLA 07-953
<i>Richard Rodriguez, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.</i> , C.A. No. 5:06-785	EDLA 07-954